THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.13838 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is filed questioning the tender notice dated 24.6.2006 issued by the respondent-Corporation, inviting tenders for grant of licence to run a stall for sale of tea and snacks at Block-A, PNBS, Vijayawada.

Admittedly, the petitioner is running a tea stall in the A.P. State Road Transport Corporation City Terminal Bus Stand, Krishnalanka, being the highest bidder in the auction conducted earlier. As per the agreement executed in his favour, the petitioner is entitled to sell tea and snacks apart from tiffins up to 30.9.2010. While so, the impugned tender notice dated 24.6.2006 has been published inviting tenders for another tea stall in the same bus stand for the same purpose. The said action of the respondent-Corporation is challenged contending *inter alia*, that he had participated in the tenders invited earlier taking into account that the stall put to auction is the only one existing in the bus stand, and hoping that he would get profit even after paying the rent of Rs.1,72,000/- per month. It is pleaded that he would not have offered so much rent, in case stalls are permitted to be located in the same bus stand.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent-Corporation, I am afraid that the writ of *mandamus* as prayed for cannot be issued on any of the grounds raised by the petitioner. Further, the agreement executed in favour of the petitioner has not been placed before this Court to show that there was any bar in granting licence for the same purpose by the Corporation in the same bus stand. As a matter of fact, even the petitioner did not raise any such plea, but only contended that if any further licence is granted for the same purpose, he would be put to financial loss and great hardship. On the other hand, the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent-Corporation, under

instructions, states that even as per the agreement in favour of the petitioner, liberty is reserved to the Corporation to grant any number of stalls in the bus stand for the purpose of sale of tea and snacks.

In the circumstances, I do not find any merit in the writ petition, and the same is accordingly, dismissed. N costs.

_____

(G. ROHINI, J.)

10th July, 2006.
Kgr

THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.13838 OF 2006

10th July, 2006.

Between:

Atluri Venkateswara Rao. .. Petitioner.

And

The Regional Manager, APSRTC.,
Krishna Region, Vijayawada,
Krishna district. .. Respondent.